





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/591,651	02/12/1996	JOHN B. CLASSEN	CLASSEN=1A	9417	
1444 7:	590 08/20/2002			•	
	ND NEIMARK, P.L.	L.C.	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH ST SUITE 300	,		BRUMBACK,	BRUMBACK, BRENDA G	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642	1.1	
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2002	• • • • ।	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/591,651	CLASSEN, JOHN B.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brenda G. Brumback	1642

In

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 06/21/2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in E

	tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) _. [
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
ee hav ee und 2) as s	xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension we been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.🖂	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 May 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	a) M they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(0	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(c	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🖂	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,6,8,10,11,16,19,27-30,32-41,43,44,46,49-52,55-57,59-68,71-74,77-88,90-152,156,157 and 160</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	Prenda Faundade BRENDA BRUMBACK Phinaig PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amendment of the claims to add a hepatitis B immunogen would raise new issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as the metes and bounds of such immunogens are unclear. Additionally, amendment of claim 148 to add "at least one day" would raise the issue of new matter. Furthermore, applicant's reasoning for filing the amendment after final as three separate amendments are not clear.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has neither amended the claims nor presented new arguments in response to the outstanding grounds of rejection. Applicant's arguments filed with the reponse of 06/24/2002 are not persuasive for the reasons of record.

